



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/020,737 10/29/2001		Shuki Vitek	266/083	9679		
23639 7.	590 01/28/2004		EXAMINER			
•	MCCUTCHEN LLP ARCADERO, SUITE 18	800	JUNG, WILLIAM C			
	CO, CA 94111-4067		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3737	5		

DATE MAILED: 01/28/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				(
			Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
	Mina Antion Cummon.			37	VITEK ET AL.				
C	Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit				
		•	William Ju		3737				
<i> The</i> Period for Re	e MAILING DATE of this commo ply	unication app	ears on the	e cover sheet w	ith the corresp ndence ad	ldress			
THE MAIL - Extensions after SIX (6) - If the period - If NO period - Failure to re - Any reply re	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD ING DATE OF THIS COMMU of time may be available under the provision MONTHS from the mailing date of this confor reply specified above is less than thirty. I for reply is specified above, the maximum ply within the set or extended period for received by the Office later than three month in term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NICATION. ons of 37 CFR 1.13 mmunication. r (30) days, a reply statutory period w ply will, by statute, is after the mailing	36(a). In no even within the state will apply and wi cause the app	ent, however, may a utory minimum of thir ll expire SIX (6) MON lication to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timel ITHS from the mailing date of this considered to the considered to the constant of the constant	y. ommunication.			
1)⊠ Res	ponsive to communication(s) f	iled on <u>29 O</u>	ctober 200	<u>1</u> .					
2a) This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition o	f Claims								
4)⊠ Claii	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-38</u> is/are pending in the application.								
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)∐ Claii	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claii	⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-38</u> is/are rejected.								
7)∐ Claii	m(s) is/are objected to.								
8)∏ Claii	m(s) are subject to rest	riction and/or	r election re	equirement.					
Application P	apers								
• —	specification is objected to by			_					
· —	10) \boxtimes The drawing(s) filed on <u>29 October 2001</u> is/are: a) \boxtimes accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.								
• •	icant may not request that any ob	-		•					
•	acement drawing sheet(s) includi	•	•	-	• •	• •			
	oath or declaration is objected	to by the Ex	aminer. No	ote the attache	d Office Action or form Pi	10-152.			
•	r 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
a)	nowledgment is made of a claim b) Some * c) None of Certified copies of the priori Copies of the priori Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation attached detailed Office actions as pecific reference was included.	ty documents ty documents s of the prior tional Bureau tion for a list n for domestic	s have bee s have bee rity docume u (PCT Rul- of the certi c priority ur	n received. n received in A ents have been e 17.2(a)). fied copies not nder 35 U.S.C.	application No received in this National received. § 119(e) (to a provisiona	l application)			
37 CF a) ☐ 14)☐ Ackno	R 1.78. The translation of the foreign I owledgment is made of a claim note was included in the first se	anguage pro	visional ap c priority u	plication has b	een received. §§ 120 and/or 121 since	a specific			
101010	Trac morado in the met of	2.110.100 OF UI	_ 5,55511104		photon Data Officer of	.			
Attachment(s)									
2) Notice of D	eferences Cited (PTO-892) raftsperson's Patent Drawing Review Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)				Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(nformal Patent Application (PTC				
S Patent and Trademar	t. Office								

Application/Control Number: 10/020,737

Art Unit: 3737

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-31 and 33-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by *Beach et al* (US 6,042,556).

Claims 1, 17, 18, and 33: Beach et al anticipate all featured elements in claims 1, 17, 18, and 33. Beach et al discloses of an ultrasonic therapy system and method where an ultrasonic transducer 12 emits ultrasound energy beam 14 thru a path consisting of multiple tissue types. In addition, Beach et al disclose of a system controller 22 to control the timing of transmit and receive of the transmitter 26 and receiver 28, which control time delay, focus, and steering (col. 5, line 20 – col. 6, line 8). The time delay and phase control serves as distinguishing to the receive signal from the varying tissue characteristic (abstract; col. 4, lines 12-34).

Claims 2-6 and 19-22: In addition, Beach et al disclose of focal depth control based on time delay of the reflected signal received by the receiver to control the depth or distance of the focus. Also, Beach et al disclose of amplitude of peak signal of the delay to determine the depth of the ultrasound energy focus (col. 10, lines 42-61).

Claims 7-10, 23-27, and 34: Beach et al shows in step 84 in flow chart in figure 8 where the transducer elements 32 transmits burst of ultrasound energy from the transducer simultaneously. In steps 86-92, the receive delay of each transducer elements



Art Unit: 3737

are adjusted from the simultaneous transmission from step 84 above. Beach et al also includes repeat loop immediately after step 92.

Claims 11-16 and 28-31: Beach et al's system and method includes data processing and control with microprocessor, i.e. computer, is used to implement the method of processing and controlling the ultrasound transducer (col. 6, lines 21-34). Beach et al shows that the received data is plotted in figures 10-12, which include obtaining and displaying reflected ultrasound energy and the data being single trace.

Claims 35-38: Beach et al disclose in figure 8 that the ultrasound treatment is applied to volume of tissue where the control of the focus is achieved by the phase and time delay. More specifically, Beach et al converts the target volume measured from propagation time or time delay from the HIFU transducer element 32 to find range of tissue treatment region, therefore, Beach et al utilizes the delay and range (col. 9, lines 54-60)..

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 32 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Beach et al* as applied to claims 17, 18, 20, and 29 above, and further in view of *Aida et al* (US 5,485,839).

Beach et al substantially disclose of all claimed invention in claim 32. However, Beach et al do not disclose of 3D imaging of ultrasound signal disturbance, i.e. tissue

Application/Control Number: 10/020,737

Art Unit: 3737

characterization from the ultrasound signal. Aida et al teach that a alternate imaging device such as MRI or CT can be used to obtain 3D image data and reconstruction of the images for display 17 to assess ultrasound therapy procedure which includes transmission of ultrasound energy into patient (col. 5, lines 37-63). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the teachings of Aida et al to monitor the therapy of Beach et al with MRI or CT, because Aida et al clearly teach that the ultrasound therapy such Beach et al can be monitor with secondary imaging system such as MRI and CT with 3D imaging capability.

Art Unit: 3737

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William Jung whose telephone number is 703-605-4364. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:30 AM to 5 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dennis Ruhl can be reached on 703-308-2262. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-308-0758.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1148.

WUJJanuary 15, 2004

DENNISW. RUHL SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER